

Published with Open Access at **Journal BiNET**

Vol. 11, Issue 02: 955-962

**Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research**Journal Home: [www.journalbinet.com/jbar-journal.html](http://www.journalbinet.com/jbar-journal.html)

## Genotype and environmental interaction in growth and milk yield traits of indigenous Red Chittagong cattle

Farzana Rahman, Md. Parvej Alam, Md. Azharul Hoque and A. K. Fazlul Haque Bhuiyan

Dept. of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Faculty of Animal husbandry, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202

✉ For any information: [ask.author@journalbinet.com](mailto:ask.author@journalbinet.com), Available online: 25 December 2016.

### ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted to reveal the  $G \times E$  interaction in growth and milk yield traits of indigenous Red Chittagong cattle reared in two production environments. Traits included: birth weight (BW), weaning weight (WW), average daily gain (ADG), daily milk yield (DMY), lactation length (LL) and lactation yield (LY). Data accumulated on a total of 161 animals during 2005 to 2012 in growth traits and 76 animals during 2005 to 2008 in milk yield traits. The heritability of BW, WW and ADG were  $0.47 \pm 0.04$ ,  $0.49 \pm 0.17$ ,  $0.49 \pm 0.16$  respectively and the heritability of DMY, LL, LY were  $0.47 \pm 0.07$ ,  $0.47 \pm 0.06$  and  $0.47 \pm 0.08$ , respectively. The effect of genotype  $\times$  environment interaction was non-significant ( $p > 0.05$ ) on BW, WW and ADG whereas its effect was highly significant ( $p < 0.001$ ) on DMY, LL and LY. Correlations (genetic) between the breeding values of BW, WW and ADG in two production environment were 0.19, 0.13 and 0.11, respectively and correlations (genetic) between breeding values of DMY, LL and LY were 0.07, 0.18 and -0.01, respectively. The said genetic correlation values represented the degree of genotype by environment interaction arising on the RCC in the said two production environments. Traits with positive genetic correlation values indicate their acceptability (no difference) where as traits with negative correlation values indicate that RCC parents should be selected and used separately in two production environments.

**Key Words:** Red Chittagong Cattle, Growth, Milk yield and  $G \times E$  interaction

**Cite article:** Rahman, F., Alam, M. P., Hoque, M. A. & Bhuiyan, A. K. F. H. (2016). Genotype  $\times$  environment interaction in growth and milk yield traits of indigenous Red Chittagong cattle. *Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research*, 11(02), 955-962.



Article distributed under terms of a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International License.

### I. Introduction

Bangladesh is an agricultural based country in which livestock contributed 2.67% to the GDP (Bangladesh Economic Review, 2014-2015). The need of per capita meat and milk is 120 g and 250 ml per day, respectively, whereas per capita availability is only 65.03 g and 91.03 ml per day (MoFL, 2013). This illustrates how urgent is the need to increase the production of milk and meat. More than 80% of rural people keep 90% local cattle in Bangladesh and according to Bag (2010) among the different types of local cattle, the RCC is one of the most potential and promising type of Farm Animal Genetic Resource (FAnGR) that are more adaptable in our agro-climate condition for improvement of

meat and milk production. But these indigenous cattle are not yet recognized as a breed but a potential type or variety [Mason \(1988\)](#). The productive and reproductive performances of RCC were better than other non-descript indigenous cattle available in Bangladesh on the basis of high temperature, extreme humidity, poor-nutrient soil, distinct phenotype and small size ([Habib et al, 2003](#)). Its fertility is also good as they give birth to calves each and every year which is considered as a unique character of RCC ([Habib et al., 2003](#)). To fulfill requirement of meat and milk, a well adapted genotype is necessary. According to [Falconer and Mackay \(1996\)](#), genotype by environment interaction means that the best genotype in one environment is not necessarily the best in another environment. For better selection and production performance, it is very important to know the degree of interaction between genotype and environment (G×E) otherwise different productive and reproductive problems such as late puberty, lower conception rate, low milk yield, late pregnancy, anestrus, increased calf mortality, disease etc arises. Data on the degree of G×E interaction of RCC in different feeding and management environments does not exist. Therefore, this study aims to estimate the G×E interaction in RCC considering on-farm and on-station as two production environments.

## II. Materials and Methods

The study was conducted at the Department of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU), Mymensingh-2202. Data used in this study were collected from retrospective RCC Database maintained on two herds, Herd 1: data for growth performance observed on 76 calves and milk production observed on 22 cows were collected from the herd book records maintained at the Nucleus Herd at BAU, Mymensingh during 2005 to 2008, Herd 2: data for growth performance observed on 85 calves and data for milk production observed on 54 cows were collected from rural community herds at Char Jaikhana, Mymensingh Sadar, Mymensingh.

### Statistical analysis for estimation of G×E

Two approaches were used for estimating G x E viz.

SPSS 16.0 2007 computer program was used to estimate G×E interaction. In this study, factorial analysis of variance ([Mathur and Horst, 1994](#)) using a linear model, with an environmental factor, a genetic factor and interaction effect between the two factors, was fitted with genetic and interaction effects as random effects.

Heritability ( $h^2$ ) was estimated by using VCE<sup>+</sup> 4.2.5 ([Groeneveld, 1998](#)) computer software. PEST-13.4.10 ([Groeneveld, 1998](#)) program was used to estimate breeding values of individual growth and milk production traits using single trait animal models. Then [SAS \(2003\)](#) computer package program was used to estimate correlations (genetic) of breeding values (obtained from the aforesaid mixed model analysis PEST program) between animals of two herds for the same trait.

## III. Results and Discussion

### Effect of various factors on growth traits of RCC calves

The significant effect ( $p < 0.05$ ) of sex on growth traits agree with the results of [Rabeya et al. \(2009\)](#), who found  $15.74 \pm 0.32$  kg birth weight for RCC males and  $13.89 \pm 0.34$  kg for RCC females. [Habib et al. \(2009\)](#) and [Alam et al. \(2007\)](#) reported that  $15.79 \pm 0.29$  kg birth weight for male and  $13.96 \pm 0.30$  kg for female and  $15.67$  kg for males and  $13.67$  kg for females of RCC, respectively, season that is consistent with [Khatun \(2012\)](#) and [Meyer \(1997\)](#) on birth weight and non-significant effect of parity, year, herd and sire on birth weight which is similar to the results of [Habib et al. \(2010\)](#) and [Munim et al. \(2006\)](#). The effect of year and herd were highly significant ( $p < 0.001$ ) on weaning weight while their non-significant effect on weaning weight of RC calves was reported by [Munim et al. \(2006\)](#) and [Rabeya et al. \(2009\)](#) which are in disagreement with the present and the variations between results, between herds might be due to variation in feeding, management etc. The effect of sex, parity, season and sire were non-significant ( $p > 0.05$ ) on weaning weight that was more or less similar to [Rabeya et al. \(2009\)](#), who reported that  $57$  kg weaning weight for male RC calves and  $51.66$  kg for female RC calves and [Afroz et al. \(2011\)](#) also reported similar results. It was also found that there were a highly significant effect ( $p < 0.001$ ) of year and herd on average daily gain. [Khatun \(2012\)](#) represented that herd had significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) influence on average daily gain which agree with the present study. There were

non-significant effects of sex, parity, season and sire on average daily gain. Sex had significant ( $p<0.05$ ) effect on average daily gain in Brahman cross was found by [Khatun \(2012\)](#), male calves ( $547.42\pm 193.7$ ) weighed heavier than in female calves ( $470.34\pm 184.52$ ). Effect of various factors on growth traits of RCC calves was shown in [Table 01](#).

**Table 01. Effect of various factors on growth traits of RCC calves**

Factor	N	BWT (kg)	P Val.	Lev. Of Sig.	WWT (kg)	P Val.	Lev. Of Sig.	ADG (g/d)	P val.	Lev. Of sig.
		Mean $\pm$ SE			Mean $\pm$ SE			Mean $\pm$ SE		
Sex	Male	83	15.26 $\pm$ 0.26	.040	*	.760	NS	128.28 $\pm$ 6.68	.986	NS
	Female	78	14.55 $\pm$ 0.27					128.04 $\pm$ 6.95		
Parity	1 <sup>st</sup>	33	14.19 $\pm$ 0.37	.605	NS	.399	NS	115.56 $\pm$ 9.44	.434	NS
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	28	14.82 $\pm$ 0.39					131.19 $\pm$ 10.25		
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	27	14.89 $\pm$ 0.41					131.42 $\pm$ 10.63		
	4 <sup>th</sup>	29	15.02 $\pm$ 0.39					137.93 $\pm$ 10.12		
	5 <sup>th</sup>	18	15.33 $\pm$ 0.50					133.61 $\pm$ 12.98		
	6 <sup>th</sup>	13	14.94 $\pm$ 0.63					141.61 $\pm$ 16.27		
	7 <sup>th</sup> +	13	15.16 $\pm$ 0.59					105.82 $\pm$ 15.26		
Season	Summer	48	15.24 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.34	.016	*	.073	NS	124.72 $\pm$ 8.64	.131	NS
	Rainy	50	14.17 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.33					119.22 $\pm$ 8.54		
	Winter	63	15.31 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.29					140.56 $\pm$ 7.71		
Year	2005	13	16.08 $\pm$ 1.35	.594	NS	.000	***	88.75 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 12.15	.000	***
	2006	24	16.62 $\pm$ 1.22					164.51 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 11.20		
	2007	24	15.18 $\pm$ 1.19					165.55 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 10.43		
	2008	14	15.06 $\pm$ 1.20					121.16 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 17.5		
	2009	20	13.93 $\pm$ 1.16					149.21 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 19.9		
	2010	26	14.72 $\pm$ 1.16					116.03 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 9.17		
	2011	32	14.11 $\pm$ 1.10					117.82 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 8.39		
	2012	08	13.53 $\pm$ 1.32					115.28 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 8.89		
Herd	Nucleus	76	13.76 $\pm$ 1.03	.199	NS	.000	***	147.83 $\pm$ 8.14	.000	***
	Community	85	16.05 $\pm$ 1.09					120.35 $\pm$ 5.01		
Sire	Unknown	36	14.15 $\pm$ 0.47	.119	NS	.455	NS	129.10 $\pm$ 12.08	.387	NS
	Tag no. 41	11	16.79 $\pm$ 0.79					105.37 $\pm$ 20.44		
	Tag no. 45	15	15.68 $\pm$ 0.72					150.72 $\pm$ 18.59		
	Tag no. 52	21	14.89 $\pm$ 0.61					117.88 $\pm$ 15.89		
	Tag no. 63	38	13.67 $\pm$ 0.43					117.23 $\pm$ 11.19		
	Tag no. 92	17	14.34 $\pm$ 0.62					107.54 $\pm$ 15.88		
	Tag no. 136	10	13.99 $\pm$ 0.78					151.96 $\pm$ 20.08		
	Tag no. 141	13	15.72 $\pm$ 0.72					145.49 $\pm$ 18.53		

N= No. of observation, BWT= Birth weight, WWT= Weaning weight, ADG= Average daily gain, SE= Standard error, means with uncommon superscripts along the column within a factor differ significantly ( $p<0.05$ ). Unknown sires are those who served the dams naturally.

### Effect of various factors on milk yield traits of RCC

It was found that there was a highly significant effect ( $p<0.001$ ) of parity and herd on daily milk yield and non-significant effect of season, year and sire on daily milk yield ([Table 02](#)). The highest mean daily milk yield was found in 3<sup>rd</sup> parity as  $3.07\pm 0.13$  liter. [Munim et al. \(2006\)](#) and [Habib et al. \(2010\)](#) found significant ( $p<0.05$ ) effect of parity on daily milk yield for RCC which was consistent with the present study who reported highest average daily milk yield in 5<sup>th</sup> parity but the result differed from that of [Habib et al. \(2003\)](#) who found non-significant ( $p>0.05$ ) effect of parity on daily milk yield. The variations between results with year of calving might be due to feeding, management etc. The effect of parity was significant ( $p<0.05$ ) on lactation length which is similar to the results of [Cilek \(2009\)](#), who found significant effects of parity on lactation length but not with the results of [Zafar et al. \(2008\)](#), [Erdem et al. \(2007\)](#) and the effect of season, year, herd and sire were non-significant on lactation length that was consistent with the result of [Zafar et al. \(2008\)](#), [Erdem et al. \(2007\)](#). It was also found that there was a highly significant effect of parity ( $p<0.01$ ) and herd ( $p<0.001$ ) on average lactation yield and non-significant effect of season, year and sire on lactation yield.

**Table 02. Effect of various factors on milk yield traits of RCC calves**

Factor	N	DMY(kg)	P Val.	Lev. Of Sig.	LL (days)	P Val.	Lev. Of sig.	LY(kg)	P Val.	Lev. Of Sig.
		Mean ± SE			Mean ± SE			Mean ± SE		
Parity	1 <sup>st</sup>	33	2.34 <sup>b</sup> ±0.14	.000	***	.034	*	174.59 <sup>b</sup> ±11.58	.004	**
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	28	2.96 <sup>b</sup> ±0.11					207.22 <sup>a</sup> ±9.59		
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	27	3.07 <sup>b</sup> ±0.13					213.89 <sup>a</sup> ±11.09		
	4 <sup>th</sup>	29	2.85 <sup>a</sup> ±0.14					199.81 <sup>ab</sup> ±11.7		
Season	Summer	48	2.84±0.12	.140	NS	.780	NS	195.61±9.91	.619	NS
	Rainy	50	2.66±0.13					198.23±10.74		
	Winter	63	2.92±0.11					202.79±9.51		
Year	2005	13	2.83±0.13	.822	NS	.304	NS	528.13±38.79	.327	NS
	2006	24	2.75±0.12					202.61±9.79		
	2007	24	2.75±0.13					209.64±10.72		
	2008	14	2.88±0.17					192.69±14.02		
Herd	Nucleus	76	3.29±0.09	.000	***	.343	NS	668.07±27.12	.000	NS
	Community	85	2.32±0.15					192.49±12.69		
Sire	Unknown	36	2.55±0.08	.119	NS	.681	NS	542.17± 24.29	.279	NS
	Tag no. 45	15	2.85±0.18					204.18±14.90		
	Tag no. 63	38	3.17±0.20					211.07±16.94		
	Tag no. 92	17	2.71±0.28					171.46±23.11		
	Tag no. 141	13	2.73±0.23					205.54±18.85		

N= No. of observation, DMY= Daily milk yield, LL= Lactation length, LY= Lactation yield, SE= Standard error, means with uncommon superscripts along the column within a factor differ significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ). Unknown sires are sires those served the dam naturally.

Alam *et al.* (2007) and Habib *et al.* (2003) found non-significant effect of parity on lactation milk yield which was contradictory to the current study. The highest and lowest lactation milk yield were found in 3<sup>rd</sup> and 1<sup>st</sup> parity as 632.64±40.52 and 451.26±42.32 litre, respectively. Zafar *et al.* (2008) found lowest milk yield for the 1<sup>st</sup> lactation and highest in 6<sup>th</sup> lactation. Aslam *et al.* (2002) found highest milk yield in 4<sup>th</sup> parity. Many studies have found significant effect of parity on production, especially between first and later parities. This indicated that cows starting lactation at early age are not mature. Effect of various factors on milk yield traits of RCC calves was shown in Table 02.

### Heritability for growth and milk yield traits

Additive genetic variance and common environmental variance of birth weight, weaning weight, average daily gain, daily milk yield, lactation length and lactation yield were found to be 1.88 and 0.19, 96.89 and 2.21 and 1242.99 and 15.95, 0.065 and 0.007, 416.286 and 38.135 and 5916.907 and 687.057, respectively (Table 03). The heritability for birth weight, weaning weight, average daily gain, daily milk yield, lactation length and lactation yield were calculated as 0.47±0.04, 0.49±0.17, 0.49±0.16, 0.47±0.07, 0.47±0.06 and 0.47±0.08, respectively (Table 03). Afroz *et al.* (2011) from RCC, Deb (2004) from Jersey x Local, Stamer *et al.* (2004) from Holstein calves and Bhuiyan (1999) from Friesian x Local reported more or less similar magnitude of heritability for these traits as 0.48±0.04, 0.497±0.051, 0.48±0.04, 0.63 and 0.64±0.56, respectively. Therefore, the heritability estimate of growth traits of calves in the present study was within the range of the published results. Ibrahim *et al.* (2012) from Holstein cows, Reza *et al.* (2004) from crossbred cattle, Choudhary *et al.* (2003) from Sahiwal cows as 0.17±0.14, 0.20±0.07 and 0.27 ± 0.17, respectively which were lower result for average daily milk yield compared to the present study. Estimated heritability for lactation length was lower than the value obtained by Iqbal (2005) found from FN x LO and JR x LO and Singh *et al.* (2005) found from FNxSL as 0.50±0.03, 0.73±0.08 and 0.80±0.10, respectively.

Medium to high heritability estimates for growth and milk traits of the present study would allow breeder to go for selection of better cows for milk yield and lactation length on the basis of individual selection. Differences in magnitude of heritability might be due to differences in population structure, breed or type of cattle, methods of estimation and other unknown causes. Estimates of variance components and heritability for growth and milk yield traits are given in the Table 03.

**Table 03. Estimates of variance components and heritability for growth and milk yield traits**

Trait	N	Additive genetic variance	Environmental variance	Heritability $\pm$ SE
BWT	161	1.88	0.19	0.47 $\pm$ 0.04
WW	161	96.89	2.21	0.49 $\pm$ 0.17
ADG	161	1242.999	15.927	0.49 $\pm$ 0.16
DMY	76	0.065	0.007	0.47 $\pm$ 0.07
LL	76	416.286	38.135	0.47 $\pm$ 0.06
LY	76	5916.907	687.057	0.47 $\pm$ 0.08

N= No. of observation, SE= Standard error, BWT= Birth weight, WW=Weaning weight, ADG=Average daily gain, DMY= Daily milk yield, LL= Lactation length, LY= Lactation yield

### Estimates of G×E interaction for growth and milk yield traits

Estimates of G×E for growth traits of RCC are given in Table 04. Herds under consideration were intensive management system (Nucleus) and traditional management system (Community herds). Effects of Herd × Sire interaction on birth weight, weaning weight and average daily gain were non-significant ( $p>0.05$ ) and the effect of Herd × sire interaction was highly significant ( $p<0.001$ ) on daily milk yield, lactation length and lactation yield.

**Table 04. Genotype × Environment interactions for growth and milk yield traits**

Trait	Effect of Herd × Sire	Trait	Effect of Herd × Sire
Birth weight	NS	Daily milk yield	***
Weaning weight	NS	Lactation length	***
Average daily gain	NS	Lactation yield	***

NS=Non-significant ( $p>0.05$ ), (\*\*\*) = highly significant ( $p<0.001$ )

Nuruzzaman (2012) estimated G×E interaction on crossbred dairy calves between traits in two peri-urban production systems of Mymensingh Sadar area for birth weight and average daily gain and no significant ( $p>0.05$ ) interaction was observed for birth weight which support the result found in present study but he found highly significant ( $p<0.01$ ) interaction at average daily gain which is in disagreement with the present study.

Estimates correlations (genetic) of breeding value between animals of two herds on birth weight, weaning weight, average daily gain, daily milk yield, lactation length and lactation yield were  $0.19 \pm 0.078$ ,  $0.13 \pm 0.078$ ,  $0.11 \pm 0.078$ ,  $0.07 \pm 0.115$ ,  $0.18 \pm 0.114$  and  $-0.01 \pm 0.116$ , respectively (Table 05). This smaller positive correlation values indicated that birth weight, weaning weight, average daily gain, daily milk yield and lactation length were genetically positively correlated between animals of two herds in G×E under two management systems. Lactation yield was negatively correlated between animals of two herds.

**Table 05. Correlation (genetic) of breeding values for growth and milk yield traits between animals of two herds**

Trait	Value of genetic correlation ( $r_g$ )	Trait	Value of genetic correlation ( $r_g$ )
Birth weight	$0.19 \pm 0.078$	Daily milk yield	$0.07 \pm 0.115$
Weaning weight	$0.13 \pm 0.078$	Lactation length	$0.18 \pm 0.114$
Average daily gain	$0.11 \pm 0.078$	Lactation yield	$-0.01 \pm 0.116$

However, results normally vary for genotype by environment studies. Several studies which involved multi-state distribution of genotypes typically reported significant effects of G×E (Burns et al., 1979; Northcutt et al., 1990; Pahnish et al., 1985). This suggests that G×E is more prevalent in comparison across regions in production environment, while within states similar climate and management

practices reduces the magnitude of G×E. The inconsistency between regions and specific traits leads to the need for additional research to determine the effect of genotype by environment interaction.

#### IV. Conclusion

The RCC had almost similar performance in both production systems (intensive management system and traditional management system) and hence be considered as equally suitable breed for both situations. Considering large within population variation in the studied traits, there is opportunity of improvement for RCC if proper selection and breeding methods be applied along with optimum feeding, management and disease control measures.

#### Acknowledgements

The USDA funded long-term Red Chittagong Cattle Project of the Department of Animal Breeding & Genetics, Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU) is gratefully acknowledged. The contribution of scientists, MS and PhD students and staffs of the said project is acknowledged.

#### V. References

- [1]. Afroz, M. A., Hoque, M. A. & Bhuiyan, A. K. F. H. (2011). Estimation of heritability for growth traits of Red Chittagong cattle in a nucleus herd. *The Bangladesh Veterinarian*, 28(1), 39-46. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bvet.v28i1.8812>
- [2]. Alam, M., Bhuiyan, A. K. F. H., Ali, A. & Mamun, A. (2007). Genetic analysis of birth weight and milk production of Red Chittagong Cattle of Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Journal of Animal Science*, 36 (1 & 2), 24-32.
- [3]. Aslam, M., Nawaz, M. & Khan, M. S. (2002). Comparative performance of some cattle breeds under Barani conditions of Pakistan. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 4, 565-567.
- [4]. Bag, M. A. S., Mannan, M. A., Khan, M. S. R., Parvez, M. M. & Ullah, S. M. (2010). Morphometric characterization and present status of Red Chittagong Cattle (RCC) in Chittagong district in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Biological Research*, 1(2), 11-14.
- [5]. Bangladesh Economic Review (2014-15). Finance division, Ministry of Finance, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh. Retrived from <http://www.mof.gov.bd/>.
- [6]. Bhuiyan, M. S. A. (1999). Estimation of genetic parameters for some economic traits of dairy cattle. MS Thesis, Department of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh.
- [7]. Burns, W. C., Koger, M., Butts, W. T., Pahnish, O. F. & Blackwell, R. L. (1979). Genotype by environment interaction in hereford cattle: Ii. Birth and weaning traits. *Journal of Animal Science*, 49, 403-409. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1979.492403x>
- [8]. Choudhary, V., Kothekar, M. D., Raheja, K. L., Kasturiwale, N. N., Khire, D. W. & Kumar, P. (2003). Genetic evaluation of first lactation traits in Sahiwal cattle using restricted maximum likelihood technique. *Asian Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 16(5), 639-643. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2003.639>
- [9]. Cilek, S. (2009). Milk yield traits of Holstein cows rose at Polatli State Farm in Turkey. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 8, 6-10.
- [10]. Deb, G. K. (2004). Estimation of genetic parameters for some quantitative traits in dairy cattle of Bangladesh. MS thesis, Department of Animal Breeding & Genetics, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh.
- [11]. Erdem, H., Atasever, S. & Kul, E. (2007). Milk yield and fertility traits of Holstein cows raised at Gokhoyuk State farm, 1. Milk yield traits. *Journal of Faculty of Agriculture*, 22, 41-46.
- [12]. Falconer, D. S., Mackay, T. F. C. (1996). Introduction to Quantitative Genetics. Essex: Longman Scientific and Technical. p. 464
- [13]. Groeneveld, E. (1998). Variance Component Estimation (VCE), version 4.2.5. Institute of Animal Husbandry and Animal Behaviour, Federal Agricultural Research Center, Germany.

- [14]. Groeneveld, E., Kovac, M. & Wang, T. (1998). Multivariate Prediction and Estimation (PEST), version 3.1. Department of Animal Sciences, University of Illinois.
- [15]. Habib, M. A., Afroz, M. A. & Bhuiyan, A. K. F. H. (2010). Lactation performance of Red Chittagong Cattle and effects of environmental factors. *The Bangladesh Veterinarian*, 27(1), 18-25. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bvet.v27i1.5911>
- [16]. Habib, M. A., Bhuiyan, A. K. F. H. & Amin, M. R. (2010a). Birth weight and its non-genetic effect in Red Chittagong Cattle (RCC) in a closed nucleus herd. *International Journal of Biological Research*, 1(1), 35-39.
- [17]. Habib, M. A., Bhuiyan, A. K. F. H., Bhuiyan, M. S. A., Khan, A. A. (2003). Performance of Red Chittagong Cattle in Bangladesh Agricultural University Dairy Farm. *Bangladesh Journal of Animal Science*, 32(1-2), 101-108.
- [18]. Habib, M. A., Hossain, M. S. & Bhuiyan, A. K. F. H. (2009). Impact of urea-based diets on production of Red Chittagong Cattle. *The Bangladesh Veterinarian*, 26(2), 74-79.
- [19]. Ibrahim, I. E., Omer, M. E., Ibrahim, A. & Yousif, S. (2012). Estimation of Genetic and Non-Genetic Parameters of Friesian Cattle. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 4(4), 95.
- [20]. Iqbal, A. (2005). Genetic trends for some important dairy traits in the government dairy herd at central cattle breeding and dairy farm, Savar, Dhaka.
- [21]. Khatun, S. (2012). Estimation of factors affecting growth performance of Brahman cross calves and sire by environment interaction. MS Thesis, Department of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Bangladesh Agricultural University.
- [22]. Mason, I. L. (1988). A world dictionary of livestock breeds, types and varieties Third edition, CAB. International, Oxford Shire.
- [23]. Mathur, P. K. & Horst, P. (1994). Methods for evaluating genotype-environment interactions illustrated by laying hens. *Journal of Breeding and Genetics*, 111(4), 265-288. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0388.1994.tb00467.x> PMID:21395779
- [24]. Meyer, K. (1997). Estimates of genetic parameters for weaning weight of beef cattle accounting for direct-maternal environmental covariances. *Livestock Production Science*, 52, 187-199. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226\(97\)00144-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226(97)00144-9)
- [25]. Ministry of Finance (2013). Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh.
- [26]. MoFL (2013). Department of Livestock Services, Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock (MoFL), Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka.
- [27]. Munim, T., Hussain, S. S., Hoque, M. A. & Khandoker, M. A. M. Y. (2006). Genetic and non-genetic effects on productive and reproductive traits of different genetic groups of cows. *Bangladesh Journal of Animal Science*, 35 (1&2), 1-12.
- [28]. Northcutt, S. L., Aaron, D. K. & Thrift, F. A. (1990). Influence of specific genotype x environment interactions on preweaning beef cattle traits in the southern region. *Applied agricultural research*, 5, 63 -69.
- [29]. Nuruzzaman, M. (2012). Estimation of Genotype by environment interaction (G×E) in crossbred dairy calves raised in peri-urban system of Mymensingh. MS Thesis, Department of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Bangladesh Agricultural University.
- [30]. Pahnish, O. F., Urick, J. J., Burns, W. C., Butts, W. T., Koger, M. & Blackwell, R. L. (1985). Genotype x environment interaction in Hereford cattle: Iv. Postweaning traits of bulls. *Journal of Animal Science*, 61, 1146-1153. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1985.6151146x> PMID:4077760
- [31]. Rabeya, T., Bhuiyan, A. K. F. H., Habib, M. A. & Hossain, M. S. (2009). Phenotypic and genetic parameters for growth traits in Red Chittagong Cattle of Bangladesh. *Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University*, 7(2), 265-271.
- [32]. Reza, M. S. A., Bhuiyan, A. K. F. H., Habib, M. A., Rabeya, T. (2008). Evaluation of Red Chittagong Cows based on test day milk production. *Agricultural progress*, 19(2), 101-109.
- [33]. SAS (2003). Computer package program was used to estimate correlations (genetic) of breeding value between animals of two herds.
- [34]. Singh, P.K., Kumar, D. & Verma, S. K. (2005). Genetic studies and development of prediction equations in Jersey x Sahiwal and Holstein x Sahiwal half-breds. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 18(2), 179-184. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2005.179>
- [35]. Stamer, E., Hafez, S., Junge, W. & Kalm, E. (2004). Genetic parameters of birth weight and weaning weight of Holstein female calves. *ZUCHTUNGSKUNDE*, 76(3), 188-195.

- [36]. Statistical Package for Social Sciences (Version 16.0) (2007). Copyright © SPSS Incorporation, 1989-2007. Portion of this product were created using LEADTOOLS © 1991-2000, LEAD Technologies Incorporatin.
- [37]. Zafar, A. H., Ahmad, M., Rehman, S. U. (2008). Study of some performance traits in Sahiwal cows during different periods. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, 28, 84-88.

## HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE?

### APA (American Psychological Association)

Rahman, F., Alam, M. P., Hoque, M. A. & Bhuiyan, A. K. F. H. (2016). Genotype x environment interaction in growth and milk yield traits of indigenous Red Chittagong cattle. *Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research*, 11(02), 955-962.

### MLA (Modern Language Association)

Rahman, F., Alam, M. P., Hoque, M. A. & Bhuiyan, A. K. F. H. "Genotype x environment interaction in growth and milk yield traits of indigenous Red Chittagong cattle". *Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research*, 11.02(2016): 955-962.

### Chicago and or Turabian

Rahman, F., Alam, M. P., Hoque, M. A. & Bhuiyan, A. K. F. H. "Genotype x environment interaction in growth and milk yield traits of indigenous Red Chittagong cattle". *Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research*, 11 no.02(2016): 955-962.