Safe water access, motivation and community participation at arsenic affected rural area of Jessore, Bangladesh: insights and realism
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ABSTRACT

A trace level of arsenic in drinking water is toxic for human body. Ground water arsenic contamination is well known in the world. Arsenic in ground water is a serious health threat in arsenic contaminated areas. Last 20 years, many arsenic mitigation projects have been implemented at arsenic prone areas of Bangladesh. This study investigated the present status of existing integrated arsenic mitigation projects activities. Study was conducted on three village of Jessore district in Bangladesh where more than seven thousand people have been facing arsenic contamination problems in ground water. A total of 600 samples were collected following household survey method. Analysis suggested that slightly over half of the respondents are educated and nearly 42% was engaged with agriculture. 41% and 37% people were known about arsenic and arsenosis. Arsenic safe, arsenic contaminated and not tested tube wells were 51%, 23% and 26% respectively. It was found that 52% and 46% people were using arsenic safe water from deep tubewell and shallow tubewell which was installed by GOs and NGOs. But it was found that 2% people were still using arsenic contaminated tubewell water. This study found that 70% and 35% people were interested to pay their user share for safe water device installation and its maintenance purpose. People of arsenic prone areas were changing their habit to use arsenic safe water from deep tubewell for saving their lives from arsenicosis diseases. Arsenic mitigation programme motivated arsenic affected people increased their knowledge; hence changed drinking water use practice. Furthermore, this study found that community participation was not enough for safe water system maintenance. But vulnerable areas...
I. Introduction

Water is a basic requirement of human life. Right to source of safe drinking water is universal human right. The government of Bangladesh is committed to provide safe water for all (FAO, UNICEF, WHO & WSP Report, 2010). Safe water means pathogens and metal contaminants free water. Arsenic pollution has been reported from more than 70 countries on six continents (Bhattacharya et al., 2002). Contamination of arsenic in ground water poses a risk to the health of millions of people; especially in densely populated river deltas of the south-east Asia (Bangladesh, China, Taiwan, Cambodia, Laos, India, Nepal, Myanmar, Pakistan and Vietnam). However, the scale of the problem is much larger in Bangladesh than in any other country of the world (TOROKU report, 2005). Arsenic poisoning in ground water is well known both nationally and internationally. The world health organization (WHO) has described the situation of arsenic contamination in Bangladesh as the largest poisoning of a population in the history (Smith et al., 2000). People are changing their habits to use ground water instead of surface water for reduction of water borne diseases (Diarrhea, Dysentery, Typhoid & Cholera) (Hossain et al., 2001). Bangladesh is heavily dependent on ground water for drinking, cooking and irrigation purposes. So it is evident that arsenic contamination in ground water is a major problem in rural Bangladesh. A major part of village people are suffering from arsenicosis due to consuming arsenic contaminated water. About 80 million people in 61 districts out of 64 districts pose a serious health threat due to using arsenic contaminated ground water (British Geological Survey Report, 1999). Recent studies have indicated that arsenic constitutes a serious health risk via arsenic contaminated water and food (Saha, 1999). Arsenic is a accumulative substance, which is slowly passes out of the body through the urine, hair, finger or toe nails and skin. It may take around 10 years after consuming arsenic contaminated water for appearing the symptoms of arsenicosis (Saha, 1999). The arsenicosis symptoms period depends on the concentration of arsenic ingested; the length of exposure and immunity level of the person and body weight. Arsenicosis symptoms are skin pigmentation, eye infections, trachea and cancer (Caroli et. al. 1996). Arsenic contaminated water is not safe for drinking and cooking. According to DGHS data 38412 arsenicosis patients were suspected due to using arsenic contaminated water for drinking and cooking (SOS survey report, 2006). Arsenic safe water is the first priority for arsenicosis patients. In arsenic contaminated areas surface water is not available and ground water is not safe for drinking and cooking. In arsenic prone areas, safe water supply is a challenge, due to absence of sustainable water treatment technology, critical geological condition, water quality and climate change issues (APSU Report, 2006). Safe water is mandatory, where surface water is unavailable and ground water is contaminated by arsenic and in some areas salinity too. In case of arsenic contamination in drinking water, government and none-government organization (NGO) taken several initiatives through various arsenic mitigation projects with financial assistance from national and international development partners (Hossain et al., 2001). Governments and non-governments organizations were working on arsenic mitigation and water supply projects in Bangladesh whose activities included motivation through awareness, water quality monitoring, arsenicosis patients’ management, community development and safe water supply innovations (APSU Report, 2006). Intensity of arsenic contamination in ground water is much higher in the south-western and south-eastern parts of Bangladesh. Jessore district is located at the north part of south-west Bangladesh. In Jessore district average arsenic contamination was 53% and the highest level of arsenic contamination was observed at Jhikargachha sadar union under Jhikargachha upazilla (NAMIC
Data, 2004; JICA and AAN Report, 2015a). A total number of 1537 arsenicosis patients were suspected in Jessore district and while 375 arsenicosis patients were suspected at Jhikargachha upazila (SOS Survey report, 2006; JICA and AAN Report, 2015a). Jhikargachha upazila is the most vulnerable arsenic contaminated zone in Jessore district and Jhikargachha sadar union was given priority to implement arsenic mitigation project (NAMIC Data, 2004). Various organizations conducted awareness campaigns at rural areas and also tried to mitigate the situation by giving alternative water supply options and arsenic removal technologies. More than 23 safe devices were installed at Padmapukur, Srirampur and Sagarpur villages at Jhikargachha union; which supply arsenic safe water in these areas (JICA and AAN Report, 2015a; JICA and AAN Report, 2015b). During implementation of those projects, safe water supply systems have been encountered many difficulties due to lack of knowledge on water safety and inadequate community participation (JICA and AAN Report, 2015a). Arsenic mitigation project sustainability depends on knowledge development on water safety and regular maintenance of safe water system through community participation (JICA and AAN Report, 2015b). Good practices of water safety and maintenance through community participation can save our lives from arsenic contamination. Thus this study aims to evaluate outcome of arsenic mitigation projects and or activities such as knowledge development on water safety and health, to evaluate practice of using arsenic safe water and maintenance cost sharing through user participation.

II. Materials and Methods

Study and survey method: Study area included one upazila of Jessore district, which is the south western part of Bangladesh; Jhikargachha upazila is one of the upazila which is affected by arsenic contamination problem considerably. Jhikargachha upazila has 11 unions and one pouroshova. Jhikargachha sadar union has 19 villages, 6107 families, population was 27623 and shallow tubewells were 4373 (NAMIC Data, 2004; JICA and AAN Report, 2015a; JICA and AAN Report, 2015b). At Jhikargachha union average 33% shallow tubewells were arsenic contaminated (JICA and AAN Report, 2015a). General information about study area is shown in table 01 while a list of arsenic project and or program has been shown in table 02. Survey was conducted at Sagarpur, Padmapukur and Sreerampur villages at Jhikargachha sadar union. Sagarpur, Padmapukur and Sreerampur village arsenic contamination status, no. of arsenicosis patients and no. of safe water devices were shown in Figure 01 and Table 01. Survey was conducted in March, 2016 from monitoring the present status of previously conducted arsenic mitigation projects activities like operational status, drinking water using pattern, user's participation, arsenic related knowledge development and behavioural changing etc. Survey was conducted by using household based survey method. Surveyor visited house to house and interviewed with house hold owner for collecting information about arsenic mitigation and water supply related predefined questionnaire. Study was conducted at three villages as random sampling method. For collecting information, survey area was divided into three parts based on the arsenic contamination intensity. During sample collection 600 samples were distributed into three villages according to family members of each village.

Table 01. General information about the study area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Village Name</th>
<th>No. of families</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Arsenic contamination (%)</th>
<th>No. of safe water devices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagarpur</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sreerampur</td>
<td>1157</td>
<td>2394</td>
<td>2480</td>
<td>4874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Padmapukur</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>1667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1827</td>
<td>3734</td>
<td>3810</td>
<td>7644</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 02. Project and or program names on arsenic mitigation and implementing organization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of project</th>
<th>Working area</th>
<th>Implementation periods</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAMWSP Project</td>
<td>Jhikargacha Upazila</td>
<td>2000-2002</td>
<td>DPHE-UNICEF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic Mitigation Project</td>
<td>Jessore District</td>
<td>2003-2007</td>
<td>DRO(NGO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAC WASH Project</td>
<td></td>
<td>2005-2015</td>
<td>BRAC WASH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHSGWAPB Project</td>
<td></td>
<td>2006-2007</td>
<td>NGO Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BETV-SAM Project</td>
<td></td>
<td>2007-2008</td>
<td>OCETA-BCSIR-NGO Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAC WASH Project</td>
<td></td>
<td>2013-2015</td>
<td>JICA-AAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBSWSS Project</td>
<td></td>
<td>2014-2015</td>
<td>DPHE-NGO Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRWSST Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 01 (a, b). Arsenic contamination map of Jhikargacha upazila (a) and study area (b).
III. Results and Discussion

**Education:** Study showed that 54% people were literate and 46% people were illiterate. Figure 02 showed that graduate and higher secondary school (HSC) passed people were almost similar at Padmapukur and Srirampur villages, but Sagarpur village graduate and HSC passed people were lower than other two village. At three village, primary school (PSC) and secondary school (SSC) passed people were higher than the graduate and HSC level. SSC passed people were higher than PSC, HSC and graduate level education people.

![Figure 02. Educational status.](image1)

**Occupation:** 42%, 7%, 18%, 16% and 17% people occupations were found agriculture, service, business, labour and others activities respectively. Figure 03 showed that maximum people

![Figure 03. Occupational status.](image2)
occupation was found agriculture at three village but at Sagarpur village maximum (56%) people occupation was agriculture. It showed that 30% people occupation was business at Padmapukur village which were higher than the others two villages. At Padmapukur village 15% people occupation was service which was higher than others two villages.

**Knowledge on arsenic and health hazards:** Arsenic in drinking water is toxic for human health and it causes arsenicosis disease. Safe water is the first medicine for arsenicosis patients. 33% tubewells were arsenic contaminated and 34 arsenicosis patients were suspected due to arsenic contaminated water for drinking and cooking (NAMIC Data, 2004; JICA and AAN Report, 2015a; JICA and AAN Report, 2015b). 41% peoples were aware of arsenic in ground water and 37% people were aware of arsenic health effects (arsenicosis). Figure 04 & Figure 05 showed that knowledge on arsenic and its health effects. At Padmapukur village, 61% people were known about arsenic toxicity but Sagpur and Srirampur villages 25% & 37% people were known about arsenic toxicity. On the other hand, Sagarpur village 100% people were unknown about arsenicosis disease. At Padmapukur village, 27% people were known about arsenicosis but Srirampur village 83% people were known about arsenicosis. Results showed that many people were motivated on arsenic and its health effects through awareness by previously implemented various arsenic mitigation projects.

![Figure 04. Knowledge on arsenic.](image1)

![Figure 05. Knowledge on arsenicosis.](image2)
Drinking water arsenic contamination status: Rural area people were familiar with ground water for drinking and cooking; because they were aware that ground water was safe from microbiological and toxic metal contamination. But with time ground water became unsafe for human health due to arsenic contamination (NAMIC Data, 2004; JICA and AAN Report, 2015a; JICA and AAN Report, 2015b). 51% shallow tubewells were safe from arsenic and 23% tube well water was not safe from arsenic but 26% tube wells were not tested in this study area. Figure 06 showed that at Srirampur, Padmapukur and Sagarpur village 83%, 58% and 12% shallow tubewells were safe from arsenic respectively; 0%, 21% and 47% shallow tubewells were not safe from arsenic respectively but 17%, 21% and 41% shallow tubewells were not tested. At Srirampur village, shallow tubewells were safe (<0.05mg/L) from arsenic and others two villages arsenic contaminated tubewells were higher than Srirampur village. At Sagarpur village, there was no arsenic safe shallow tube well and water safety level was very low for high arsenic contamination.
Access of arsenic safe water: Arsenic contamination in ground water created serious health threats for 7644 peoples who are living at Sagarpur, Srirampur and Padmapukur village (NAMIC Data, 2004; JICA and AAN Report, 2015a; JICA and AAN Report, 2015b). According to arsenic contamination in shallow tubewells 23 safe water supply systems were installed in these study area (JICA and UNICEF Report, 2005; APSU Report, 2006; JICA and AAN Report, 2015a; JICA and AAN Report, 2015b). Community based arsenic safe water supply system was not familiar for rural area people, because at rural area people were habituated to use household based shallow tube wells water form their own source from the past years. It was a challenge to use arsenic safe water from the community based safe water sources by changing their previous practices. At the three villages, 52% people were collected arsenic safe water from deep tubewells and 46% people were collected arsenic safe water from green painted (arsenic safe) shallow tubewells which tubewells arsenic concentration was below 0.05 mg/L. But only 2% people were still using arsenic contaminated tube wells water, whose tubewells arsenic concentration was exceed than Bangladesh drinking water standard (>0.05 mg/L). At Sagarpur, Padmapukur and Srirampur village, 97%, 52% and 6% people were using arsenic safe water from deep tube wells and 3%, 42% and 94% peoples were using arsenic safe water from green painted shallow tubewells respectively (Figure 07). On the other hand, at Padmapukur village 6% people were using arsenic contaminated tubewells water for drinking and cooking. Srirampur village people were using their own green painted (arsenic safe) shallow tubewells for drinking and cooking due to low arsenic contamination in ground water. At Sagarpur village, people were highly accessed arsenic safe water due to high arsenic contamination in shallow tubewells and motivation on arsenic health threats. At Padmapukur village, people were using arsenic safe water from deep tube wells and arsenic safe shallow tubewells. At three villages, very few people were using red painted arsenic contaminated tube wells water. This study results showed that peoples were aware about arsenic and changing their habits to use arsenic safe water from green painted shallow tubewells and arsenic safe deep tubewells. Arsenic mitigation projects were succeeded for accessing arsenic safe water for this study area people.

Difficulties in safe water supply system sustainability: Safe water supply systems sustainability and its water safety depend on regular maintenance, water quality monitoring and community participation (JICA and UNICEF Report, 2005; APSU Report, 2006; JICA and AAN Report, 2015a; JICA and AAN Report, 2015b). Community people motivation and their participation is the most important for continuing access of safe water and its sustainability. As project activities was completed, afterwards safe water supply system faced difficulties due to community users participation, maintenance and water quality monitoring problems (JICA and UNICEF Report, 2005; APSU Report, 2006; JICA and AAN Report, 2015a; JICA and AAN Report, 2015b). 70% people were interested to pay their users share for safe water device installation but 35% people were interested to pay maintenance cost share. Padmapukur and Sagarpur village 72% and 100% people were very much aware to pay the user share for safe water supply system installation because of highly arsenic contamination in tubewells water and lack of safe water source availability. On the other hand, at Srirampur village, 37% people were interested to pay user share for safe water supply system installation, because they have available safe water sources (green painted STW) in near their house. After installation of safe water device regular maintenance and water quality monitoring is the most important for water safety. Financial availability is essential for paying the installation cost, maintenance cost and water quality testing cost. This maintenance cost should be paid by user families, which in turn will be expense for safe water device maintenance and water quality monitoring cost. 35% people were interested to pay monthly maintenance cost, but 65% people were not interested to pay monthly maintenance cost due to lack of motivation on community participation. Figure 08 and Figure 09 showed that at Padmapukur, Sagarpur and Srirampur village, 33%, 25% and 46% people were interested to pay monthly user fee for safe water device maintenance and water quality monitoring cost. On the other hand, Padmapukur, Sagarpur and Srirampur village, 67%, 75% and 54% people were not interested to pay monthly user fee for safe water systems maintenance cost. Community participation was not enough for safe water system sustainability due to user motivational problems of safe water systems installation and maintenance cost sharing practice. More
Awareness and motivation related activities are necessary for increasing the community participation and making a good practice for paying the regular maintenance cost.

![Figure 08. User share payment for safe water supply system installation.](image)

![Figure 09. User share payment for safe water supply system maintenance cost.](image)

### IV. Conclusion

During 1993-2015, many organizations conducted arsenic mitigation and water supply projects in these study areas for changing the drinking water status and risk assessment of arsenic contamination in ground water. People from all three villages were highly motivated by awareness related activities. People were aware about to check their tubewell water arsenic safety level and how to get the safe water from arsenic safe deep tube wells or green painted shallow tube well. But user participation practices were not established for safe water supply system development, regular maintenance and water quality monitoring. People were not interested to pay user share for maintenance of safe water supply system. In case of Sagarpur village, user families were motivated and benefited from various arsenic mitigation projects. Sagarpur and Srirampur village 97% and 94% people were access to arsenic safe water for drinking and cooking purpose. The ground water arsenic contamination has become a serious public health problem in Bangladesh. Proper management of arsenic pollution is a challenge for Bangladesh. To combat this gigantic problem, appropriate planning and leadership from...
the government, non-government organization and active participation of the scientific community, user communities are essential.
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