

Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis of Some Exotic Early Maturing Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Lines

Md. Manik Sarker, Lutful Hassan, Mirza Mofazzal Islam¹, Md. Mamunur Rashid²
and Shahjahan Seraj

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh.

¹Biotechnology Division, Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture, Mymensingh.

²Farm Management Division, Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, Gazipur, Bangladesh.

Corresponding author: kbdmanik@bau.edu.bd

Abstract

*Correlation and path coefficient analyses of thirty two (32) exotic early maturing rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) lines were evaluated through their yield and yield contributing characters. Almost all the lines exhibited significance difference for different characters. The results indicated that days to 50% flowering (0.42*), days to maturity (0.35*), total tillers hill⁻¹(0.71**), effective tillers hill⁻¹ (0.82**) had positive and significant association with yield plant⁻¹ for phenotypic correlation coefficient; whereas, unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ (-0.19*) had significantly negative correlation with yield. For phenotypic correlation coefficient, the days to 50% flowering (0.50*), days to maturity (0.39*), total tillers hill⁻¹(0.80**), effective tillers hill⁻¹ (0.88**) showed positive and significant association with yield plant⁻¹, on the other hand unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ (-0.19*) had significantly negative correlation with yield. Path analysis revealed that days to maturity (0.87**), total number of tillers hill⁻¹(0.25**), effective number of tillers hill⁻¹(0.48**), panicle length (0.68**) and number of filled grain panicle⁻¹ (1.29**) had positive direct effects on yield plant⁻¹. Results suggest days to maturity, total number of tillers hill⁻¹, and effective number of tillers hill⁻¹ may be used as reliable criteria for improving yield of early maturing rice.*

Key words: Correlation, Path Analysis, Early Maturing, Rice and Yield

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most leading food crops in the world and staple food for over 60% of the world's population, mostly in Asia. Bangladesh is an agro-based country and predominately rice based. Bangladesh earns about 18.7% of her GDP from agriculture (Economic Review, 2013). The world is facing many different security problems in the 21st century: food is one of them. Within 2025 the demand of rice globally will be 880 million ton which is 70% more than present production (IRRI, 2010). The current level of rice grain production in Bangladesh is 18.04 million tons, total demand is 35.3 million ton and cropping intensity is 183% (BBS, 2012). Therefore, to fill up the gap between production and demand, we need to increase cropping intensity nearly 300%. Short duration rice is an important aspect to increase cropping intensity. Correlation coefficient determines the simple relations among the traits. It does not determine always decisive result about determination of plant selection criteria (Cakmakci *et al.* 1998). Path coefficient analysis as to correlation coefficient gives more detailed information on the relations, so it is commonly used by plant breeders to determine yield and yield contributing characters (Board *et al.* 1997, Williams *et al.* 1990). The objective of the study was to study the correlation coefficient and path coefficient analysis of early maturing rice lines for evolving yield and yield contributing characters.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted from July 2012 to November 2012 in the experimental field of Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture (BINA), Mymensingh-2202. Geographically the experimental area is located at 24^o75 N latitude and 90^o5 E longitudes at the elevation of 18 m above the sea level.

Experimental materials

Thirty one early maturing rice lines along with check variety Binadhan-7 were used for the experiments. Mutant variety, Binadhan-7 is the popular early maturing variety. The seeds of the rice lines were collected from International Network for Genetic Evaluation of Rice (*INGER*), International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Philippines.

Table 1. List of experimental materials

Sl No	Lines Name	Origin	Sl No	Lines Name	Origin
1	IR 79246-105-2-2-4	IRRI	17	BP 1018F-BB8-13-BB4	INDONESIA
2	IR 73718-26-1-2-5	IRRI	18	IR 79525-20-2-2-2	IRRI
3	BP 10620F-BB4-13-BB8	INDONESIA	19	IR 80285-34-3-3-2	IRRI
4	IR 79538-1-1-1-1	IRRI	20	CT 18173-1-9-1-3-6-M	CIAT
5	IR 76494-28-1-2-2	IRRI	21	BP 10620F-BB4-2-BB4	INDONESIA
6	YN 2883-12-2-1	MYANMAR	22	PSB RC 64	INDIA
7	AD 02207	INDIA	23	IR 08N261	IRRI
8	BP 10620F-BB4-8-BB8	INDONESIA	24	RATNAGIRI 2	INDIA
9	C1-4-11-7P-2P-1P	CIAT	25	MTU-1113	INDIA
10	IR 79201-49-1-1-1	IRRI	26	KARJAT 5	INDIA
11	BP 10620F-BB4-12-BB8	INDONESIA	27	KHAZAR	IRAN
12	IR 82489-594-3-2-2	IRRI	28	IR 59552-21-3-2-2	IRRI
13	CT 18509-10-6-1VI-2	CIAT	29	C 2-9-9-2P-1P-3P	CIAT
14	IR 74052-153-5-3-1-3	IRRI	30	IR 39809-26-3-3	IRRI
15	PSD RC 2	IRRI	31	CT 18148-11-1-1-1-1-M	CIAT
16	IR 08N293	IRRI	32	Binadhan-7	BINA

Experimental Design

The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The row to row and plant to plant distances were 20 cm and 15 cm, respectively. The recommended fertilizer dose was 220 (Kg/ha) Urea, 100 (Kg/ha) TSP and 70 (Kg/ha) MOP.

Collection of data

The following data Plant height (cm), days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, total tillers and effective tillers hill⁻¹, filled and unfilled grains panicle⁻¹, 1000 seed weight (g), yield plant⁻¹ (g) were collected from randomly selected of 5 plants from each unit plot.

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance was performed using the plant breeding statistical program (PLBSTAT, Version 2N,Utz 2007) with the following model: $Y_{ij} = g_i + r_j + \epsilon_{ij}$

Where, Y_{ij} = observation of genotype i in replication j ; g_i = effects of genotype i ; r_j = effects of replication j and ϵ_{ij} = the residual error of genotype i in replicate j .

Formula used for estimation of genetic and phenotypic parameters

The genotypic and phenotypic correlations were estimation by the formula suggested by Miller *et al.* (1985).

$$\text{Phenotypic correlation, } r_{p1.2} = \frac{\text{CoV}.p_{1.2}}{\sqrt{\delta^2 p_1 \times \delta^2 p_2}}$$

Where, $\text{CoV}.p_{1.2}$ = phenotypic covariance between the trait x_1 and x_2 ; $\delta^2 p_1$ = phenotypic variance of the trait x_1 and $\delta^2 p_2$ = phenotypic variance of the trait x_2 .

$$\text{Genotypic correlation, } r_{g1.2} = \frac{\text{CoV}.g_{1.2}}{\sqrt{\delta^2 g_1 \times \delta^2 g_2}}$$

Where, $\text{CoV}.g_{1.2}$ = genotypic covariance between the trait x_1 and x_2 ; $\delta^2 g_1$ = genotypic variance of the trait x_1 and $\delta^2 g_2$ = genotypic variance of the trait x_2 .

Direct and indirect path coefficients were calculated as described by Lynch & Walsh (1998).

$$r_{yi} = P_{yi} + \sum_{\substack{i'=1 \\ i' \neq i}}^k r_{ii'} P_{yi'} \quad \text{for } i \neq 1$$

Where: r_{yi} is the correlation coefficient between the i -th causal variable (X_i) and effect variable (y), $r_{ii'}$ is the correlation coefficient between the i -th and i' -th causal variables, P_{yi} is the path coefficient (direct effect) of the i -th causal variable (X_i), $r_{ii'} P_{yi'}$ is the indirect effect of the i -th causal variable via the i' -th causal variable.

Results and Discussion

Variations and performance of the genotypes

The analyses of variance of 10 important quantitative characters for different genotypes are shown in Table 2. Analysis of variance resulted in significant variations among the genotypes for the following characters: plant height, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, total number of tillers hill⁻¹, effective tillers hill⁻¹, panicle length (cm), filled grains panicle⁻¹, number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹, yield plant⁻¹ (g) and 1000 seed weight (Table 2). These results indicated that there was a genotypic variation among the genotypes for the characters.

Table 2. Mean squares analysis for morphological traits in 32 rice genotypes

Characters	df	DF	DM	PH	PL	TT	ET	FG	UG	SWT	Y/P
Genotypes	31	79.7**	183.9**	129**	4.73**	17.8**	13.1**	2996.1**	761.4**	17.3**	15.3**
Replication	2	2.67	3.04	10.8	6.63	23.5	19.1	1137	576.3	0.03	4.95
Error	62	7.74	4.30	10.6	1.60	6.69	3.97	447.2	143.8	0.01	1.85

* indicates significant at 0.05 ** indicates significant at 0.01 probability

DM=Days to 50% flowering, DM=Days to maturity, PH=Plant height (cm), TT=Total number of tillers hill⁻¹, ET=Effective number of tillers hill⁻¹, PL=Panicle length (cm), FG=Filled grains panicle⁻¹, UG=Unfilled grains panicle⁻¹, SWT=1000 seed weight (g), Y/P=Yield plant⁻¹ (g)

Correlation coefficient

Result of correlation co-efficient at phenotypic and genotypic levels indicated that grains yield plant⁻¹ had non-significant positive association with days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, total no. of tillers, effective of number of tillers hill⁻¹, number of filled grains panicle⁻¹, number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ and weight of 1000 seeds (Table 3). An non-significant positive correlation of grains yield with 50% flowering and weight of 1000 seeds were also observed by Das *et al.* (1992) and Choudhury *et al.* (1998).

Days to 50% flowering had significant positive correlation with 1000 seed weight and yield plant⁻¹ but non-significant positive correlation with days to maturity, plant height, total number of effective tillers hill⁻¹, number of effective tillers hill⁻¹, number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ and number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ (Table 3). But the result of correlation between days to 50% flowering and weight of 1000 seeds were contradictory to the findings of Dhanraj *et al.* (1989) where there two characters were negatively correlated but Chookar *et al.*(1994) found a significant positive correlation between these characters. Days to 50% flowering had significant positive correlation with weight of 1000 seeds and yield plant⁻¹. But significant negative correlation accounted with unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ at the both level (genotypic and phenotypic level). Days to maturity had significant positive correlation with yield plant⁻¹ but significant negative correlation with 1000 seed weight. Plant height had significant positive association with panicle length and filled grains panicle⁻¹, and negative correlation with total number of, number of effective tillers hill⁻¹, 1000 seed weight and yield plant⁻¹. Number of effective tillers hill⁻¹ showed positive significant association with number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ and yield plant⁻¹. Number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ showed positive and significant association with yieldplant⁻¹. This finding was partially in agreement with the results of Chaudhury *et al.* (1998) and Debi *et al.* (1997). Total number of tillers hill⁻¹ showed significant positive correlation with Effective number of tillers hill⁻¹ and yield plant⁻¹. The results of the present study suggested that days to 50% flowering plant height, days to maturity, total number of tillers hill⁻¹, number of effective tillers hill⁻¹, number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ and yield plant⁻¹ are the most important characters. Therefore, selection based on these characters may bring out desired improvement towards enhancing the grains yield in rice.

Table 3. Estimates of phenotypic correlation coefficients between yield and yield component characters

Characters	DM	PH	TT	EF	PL	FG	UG	SWT	Y/P
DF	0.04	0.18	0.24	0.02	-0.29	0.31	-0.38*	0.42*	0.42*
DM		-0.09	0.31	0.33	-0.08	0.06	0.16	-0.47**	0.35*
PH			-0.09	-0.03	0.60**	0.36*	0.20	-0.23	-0.13
TT				0.94**	0.01	0.35*	-0.10	-0.03	0.71**
ET					0.04	0.35*	0.04	-0.04	0.82**
PL						0.28	0.17	-0.28	0.05
FG							-0.20	-0.08	0.14
UG								-0.25	-0.19*
SWT									-0.13

** = Significant at 1% level of probability * = Significant at 5% level of probability

DM=Days to 50%flowering, DM=Days to maturity, PH=Plant height (cm), TT=Total number of tillershill⁻¹, ET=Effective number of tillers hill⁻¹, PL=Panicle length (cm), FG=Filled grains panicle⁻¹, UG=Unfilled grains panicle⁻¹, SWT=1000 seed weight (g), Y/P=Yield plant⁻¹ (g)

Table 4. Estimates of genotypic correlation coefficients between yield and yield component characters

Characters	DM	PH	TT	EF	PL	FG	UG	SWT	Y/P
DF	0.05	0.20	0.28	0.24	-0.36	0.39	-0.41*	-0.48*	0.50*
DM		-0.10	0.39	0.43	-0.09	0.09	0.19	-0.51**	0.39*
PL			-0.16	-0.03	0.68**	0.42*	0.24	-0.28	-0.19
TT				0.99**	0.08	0.39*	-0.16	-0.08	0.80**
ET					0.08	0.38*	0.08	-0.09	0.88**
PL						0.29	0.19	-0.29	0.05
FG							-0.28	-0.09	0.19
UG								-0.29	-0.21*
SWT									-0.18

** = Significant at 1% level of probability * = Significant at 5% level of probability

DM=Days to 50%flowering, DM=Days to maturity, PH=Plant height (cm), TT=Total number of tillers/hill⁻¹, ET=Effective number of tillers hill⁻¹, PL=Panicle length (cm), FG=Filled grains panicle⁻¹, UG=Unfilled grains panicle⁻¹, SWT=1000 seed weight (g), Y/P=Yield plant⁻¹ (g)

Path co-efficient analysis

From the results of path analysis (Table 5), it was evident that direct effects contributed by days to maturity (0.87), total number of tillers hill⁻¹ (0.25), effective number of tillers hill⁻¹ (0.48), panicle length (0.68) and number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ (1.29) were high indicating that among the component traits, these five characters contributed maximum for yield plant⁻¹ (Table 5). The direct effects of days to maturity, effective number of tillers hill⁻¹, number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ were higher than their respective correlation co-efficient but lower for plant height and number of tillers number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹. The indirect effects of the below some characters were less important.

Thus direct selections for these traits were effective Chaudhury and Das (1998) observed high positive direct effect of days to maturity towards grains yield. Liu *et al.* (2001) reported the highest positive direct effect of number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ on yield plant⁻¹ followed by 1000 grains weight and number of filled grains panicle⁻¹. Chanbey and Singh (1994) observed maximum positive direct effect of number of effective tillers hill⁻¹ followed by plant height and 1000 grains weight. Samonte *et al.* (1998) reported major influence number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ on yield.

Although the character, days to 50% and days to maturity were positively correlated with yield but its direct effect on grains yield plant⁻¹ was negative. It indicated that this character influenced grains yield by its indirect positive effects through days to maturity, plant height, and number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ and weight of 1000 seeds. Mehetre *et al.* (2003) reported negative direct effect of days to 50% flowering on grains yield. Plant height showed a low negative direct effect on grains yield plant⁻¹ but the correlation co-efficient was significantly positive. Kumar *et al.* (2001) reported moderate direct effect of plant height to grains yield.

Table 5. Estimation of path analysis showing direct and indirect effect of traits on grain yield

Characters	DM	PH	TT	EF	PL	FG	UG	SWT	Y/P
DF	-0.31	-0.17	-0.01	0.03	-0.05	-0.10	0.02	-0.07	-0.10
DM	0.49	0.87	0.82	0.02	-0.07	0.53	0.01	0.04	-0.25
PL	-0.05	-1.18	-1.25	-0.45	-0.44	-0.44	-0.35	-0.39	-0.21
TT	-0.02	0.06	0.09	0.25	0.02	0.01	0.04	-0.05	-0.09
ET	0.08	-0.03	0.17	0.05	0.48	-0.11	-0.01	-0.02	-0.13
PL	0.21	0.42	0.24	0.03	-0.16	0.68	-0.17	0.29	0.24
FG	-0.11	0.01	0.36	0.22	-0.04	-0.33	1.29	0.92	1.06
UG	-0.10	-0.02	-0.13	0.09	0.01	-0.18	-0.31	-0.43	-0.06
SWT	-0.19	0.16	-0.06	0.22	0.16	-0.20	-0.47	-0.08	-0.57

Bold figures indicate the direct effect, Residual effect = 0.204

DM=Days to 50%flowering, DM=Days to maturity, PH=Plant height (cm), TT=Total number of tillers/hill⁻¹, ET=Effective number of tillers hill⁻¹, PL=Panicle length (cm), FG=Filled grains panicle⁻¹, UG=Unfilled grains panicle⁻¹, SWT=1000 seed weight (g), Y/P=Yield plant⁻¹ (g)

Conclusion

The performance of different exotic rice lines for grain yield and different yield contributing characters were evaluated. There were significant variations among the genotypes for the characters plant height, days to flowering, days to maturity, total tillers hill⁻¹, effective tillers hill⁻¹, filled grains panicle⁻¹, panicle length, unfilled grains panicle⁻¹, yield plant⁻¹ (g) and 1000 seed weight. Days to 50%

flowering had significant positive correlation with 1000 seed weight and yield plant⁻¹, and significant negative correlation with unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ but non-significant positive correlation with days to maturity, plant height, total effective tillers hill⁻¹, effective tillers hill⁻¹ and filled grains panicle⁻¹. From the results of path analysis it is evident that direct effects contributed by days to maturity, total number of tillers hill⁻¹, effective number of tillers hill⁻¹, panicle length and number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ and these five characters contributed maximum for yield plant⁻¹.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by International Network for Genetic Evaluation of Rice (*INGER*), International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Philippines by providing rice seeds. We thank Dr. Shamsun Nahar Begum, Biotechnology Division, Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture, Mymensingh, and Dr. Arif Hasan Khan Robin, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, for critiquing the manuscript.

References

- BBS (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics), 2012. Monthly Statistical Bulletin of Bangladesh. Stat. Div., Minst. Planning, Bangladesh Bur. Stat, Govt. People's Repub. Bangladesh, Dhaka. p. 182.
- Board, J.E., Kang, M.S. and Harville, B.G. 1997. Path analyses identify indirect selection criteria for yield of late planted Soybean. *Crop Sci.*, 37: 879-884
- Cakmakci, S., Unay, A. and Acikgoz, E. 1998. An investigation on determination of characters regarding to seed and straw yield using different methods in common vetch. *Turk. J. Agric.* 22: 161-166
- Chaubey, P.K. and Singh, R.P. 1994. Genetic variability. Correlation and path analysis of yield components of rice. *Madras Agril. J.* 81(9): 468-470.
- Chookar, S.K.; Marakar, R.V. and Siddiq, M.A. 1994. Genetic variability for grain yield and yield contributing characters in rice. *J. Maharashtra Agril. Univ.* 19(2): 236-238.
- Choudhury, P.K.D. and Das, P.K. 1998. Genetic variability, correlation and path co-efficient analysis in deep water rice, *Ann. Agril. Res.* 19(2): 120-124.
- Das, R.K., Islam, M.A., Howlader, M., Ebrahim, S.M., Ahmad, H.V. and Miah, N.M. 1992. Variability and genetic association in upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Bangladesh J. Plant Breed. Genet.* 5(1-2): 51-56.
- Debi, B.R., Ray, P.K.S. and Howlader, M. 1997. Variability and genetic association in irrigated rice. *Prog. Agric.* 8(1/2): 165-168.
- Dhanraj, A., Jagadish, C.A. and Upre-Vijay, B. 1989. Studies on character association in the F2 generation of ten selected crosses in rice. *J. Res. APAU.* 15(1): 64-65.
- Economic Review of Bangladesh, 2013. Economic advisory subdivision, Economic Divi., Ministry of planning, Govt. People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka, p. 91.
- IRRI (International Rice Research Institute), 2010. Annual Report for 2009. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, Laguna, Philippines. pp.179-181.
- Kumar, R., Thakur, R., Mishra, S.B. and Singh, D.N. 2001. Variability studies in segregating population of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Annals Biol.* 17(1): 43-45.
- Liu, Wei., Shi, YanLi., Liu, W. and Shi, Y.L. 2001. Preliminary report on heterosis of japonica hybrid rice in Ningxia. *Crop Ins. of Ningxia Aca. Agricultural- Forest. Science.* Yongning 750105, Ningxia, China.
- Lynch, M. and Walsh, B. 1998. *Genetics and Analysis of Quantitative Traits.* Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- Mehetre, R.N., Yadava, M.S. and Mohan, K.S. 2003. Genetic variation, character association and path analysis in rainfed upland rice. *Indian. J. Dry land Agril. Res. Develop.* 18 (2): 196-198.

- Millar C. K., Kralios F. A., Lux R. L. 1985. Correlation between refractory periods and activation-recovery intervals from electrograms: effects of rate and adrenergic interventions. *Circulation*, 72: 1372-1379.
- Samonte, L., Singh, J. D. and Sachan, N. S. 1989. Inter character association and path analysis in paddy (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Annals of Biology*. 1.8(2):125-128.
- Utz, H.F., 2007. PLABSTAT (Version 2N), a computer program for the computation of variances and covariances. Institute of Plant Breeding, Seed Science, and Population Genetics, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany.
- Williams, W.A., Jones, M.B. and Demment, M.W. 1990. A concise table for path analysis statistics. *Agron. J.*, 82: 1022-1024.

Citation for this Article:

M. M. Sarkar, L. Hasan, M. M. Islam, M. M. Rashid and S. Seraj, 2014. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of some exotic early maturing rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) lines. *Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research* 01 (01): 01-07.