

Published with Open Access at **Journal BiNET**

Vol. 04, Issue 01: 10-19

Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture ResearchHome page: www.journalbinet.com/jbar-journal.html

Suitability of cut corm as planting materials on flowering and corm-cormel production of gladiolus cultivars

M. Mahasen^a, A. F. Ona^a, T. Taufique^a, H. Mehraj^b and A. F. M. Jamal Uddin^a

^aDept. of Horticulture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh

^bThe United Graduate School of Agricultural Sciences, Ehime University, 3-5-7 Tarami, Matsumaya, Ehime 790-8556, Japan

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to study the cut corm as planting materials on flowering and corm-cormel production of gladiolus cultivars. Four different colored gladiolus viz. yellow (V_1), lemon yellow (V_2), white (V_3), pink (V_4) and cut corm viz. half corm (C_1) with whole corm (control, C_2) were used in experiment. Maximum floret number (13.0/spike), basal floret head diameter (9.2 cm) and vase life (5.2 days) was found from V_1 . All the studied characters were found statistically similar result between C_1 and C_2 . Use of the cut corm did not affect the significantly on the floral and reproductive characters. All cultivars were performed satisfactorily with cut corm. Number of floret/spike reduction was 10.2% in V_1 , 19.7% in V_2 while no reduction in V_3 and V_4 in half corm over whole corm. Number of corm/plot was reduced 4.5% in V_1 , 11.2 % in V_2 while increased 4.5% in V_3 and no reduction in V_4 in half corm over whole corm. Therefore using half corm may be beneficial for farmers by reducing half of the planting materials for gladiolus production.

Keywords: *Gladiolus*, reproductive attributes, half corm and whole corm

Please cite this article as: Mahasen, M., Ona, A. F., Taufique, T., Mehraj, H. & Jamal Uddin, A. F. M. (2015). Suitability of cut corm as planting materials on flowering and corm-cormel production of gladiolus cultivars. *Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research* 04(01): 10-19.

This article is distributed under terms of a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International License

I. Introduction

Gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) belonging to *Iridaceae* has great demand in international cut flower market. Gladiolus is grown commercially from corms both for the flowering spikes and for corm production and it is principally propagated by natural multiplication of new corms and cormels (Memon *et al.*, 2009; Hartman *et al.*, 1990; Ziv and Lilien-kipnis, 1990). However, owing to their low rate of multiplication and to a high percentage of spoilage of corms during storage, there is an insufficient supply of planting material (Memon *et al.*, 2012; Singh and Dohare, 1994). A mother corm normally produces one new daughter corm each season along with several cormels. These cormels require three to four seasons to attain sufficient size for flowering. Physiological dormancy of corms and cormels usually lasts for 4–5 months and incidence corm rot during storage are major problems (Priyakumari and Sheela, 2005). In such a case, propagation may be done by cutting the corms into

several pieces to increase the number of planting material. The segment of corm to be used as a propagule should have at least one eye and a portion of basal plate or root zone. The corms are cut 7 to 10 days before planting. Small corms can be divided into 3 to 4 pieces while the large one can be divided into 7 to 10 pieces (Gromov, 1972). Better results can be obtained when radical cuts are made. Large numbers of cultivars are developed every year and hundreds of cultivars degenerated every year and these cultivars varied in their performance (Deshraj and Misra, 1998; Joshi *et al.*, 2011). Establishing a relationship between the different varieties of gladiolus, application of whole corm with half cut corm and quality and quantity of cut flower and corm produced will facilitate the use of cut corms for cut flower production thus increasing the number of planting material to many folds. Current study was undertaken to select the suitable variety using half cut corm to minimize the requirement of planting materials.

II. Materials and Methods

Experimental site and duration: The present investigations were carried out at the Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh experimental fields from the October 2012 to March 2013.

Experimental design: A 4 x 2 (4 cultivars x 2 corm types) factorial experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design with 3 replications.

Treatments of the experiment: Gladiolus variety (V_1 : Yellow colored; V_2 : Lemon yellow colored; V_3 : White colored and V_4 : Pink colored) and corm types (C_1 : Half cut corm and C_2 : Whole corm) were used in the experiment.

Plot size: The size of unit plot was 1.0 m x 0.6 m. The distance between the blocks was 0.5 m and between the plots was 0.5 m. The plots were raised up to 15 cm.

Procedure for the application of treatments: Large corms were cut into two sections, retaining a bud with each section. Dithane M-45 was applied to the segments and whole corm to prevent fungus. Then the segments and whole corms are treated with GA_3 to break dormancy.

Planting of the corms: Corms were planted at 7 cm depth in the plot maintaining 20 cm x 20 cm spacing. In each plot, 15 corms were planted.

Data collection: Data were collected on days to 80% germination, plant height (cm), leaf area (cm^2), number of days taken for flower spike initiation (visual observation), number of days taken for first floret opening, spike length (cm), spike diameter (mm), number of floret/spike, basal floret head diameter (cm), number of daughter corms/plot, number of cormels/plot, single corm weight (g), single corm diameter (cm) and vase life. The leaf area was measured at 60 DAP using CL-202 Leaf Area Meter by destructive method. Spike length was measured from 25 cm above of the internode to fourth leaf up to tip of spike. Spike and corm diameter was measured using Digital Caliper-515 (DC-515). Vase life was measured using tap water.

Statistical analysis: Collected data were statistically analyzed using MSTAT-C computer package programme. Difference between treatments was assessed by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) test at 5% level of significance (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

III. Results and Discussion

Days to 80% germination

Maximum days were required for 80% germination by V_4 (26.7 days) whereas minimum from V_2 (19.3 days) which was statistically similar with V_1 and V_3 (Table 01). Corm size of V_4 was smaller than other varieties. Bigger corms took half time to sprout than smaller corms (Singh, 1998). Larger sized corm sprouted faster than the smaller one, which might be due to more food reserve in larger corm than

smaller ones and hence metabolic activities also takes place at a faster rate. Sprouting and germination from half corm was pictorially presented on Plate 1. Maximum days required for 80% germination by C_1 (23.8 days) whereas lowest from C_2 (19.0 days) (Table 02). Earlier germination was found from whole corm than small corm (Laishram *et al.*, 2011). This may be attributed to the fact that dormancy breaking of apical bud takes place earlier than that of axillary bud present on the cut pieces. This could be due to segments had to undergo injuries and therefore took more time to produce callus and to heal. Maximum days required for 80% germination was observed in V_4C_1 (30.3 days) whereas the minimum from V_1C_2 and V_2C_2 (17.3 days) (Table 03).



a)



b)

Plate 01. a) Sprouting from half cut corm and b) Seedling germination from half cut corm

Plant height

Tallest plant was found from V_2 (121.7 cm) which was statistically similar with V_1 (118.7 cm) while shortest V_4 (87.7 cm) at 80 days after planting (DAP) (Table 01). Plant height variations in gladiolus varieties were also observed previously by Dod *et al.* (1989), Saini *et al.* (1991), Sidhu and Arora (2000) and Kishan *et al.* (2005). Several varieties of the same species behave different even grown under same environment (Mushtaq *et al.*, 2013). Variation in plant height among varieties may be due to genetic variation and difference in adaptation to agro-climatic condition. Tallest plant was found in C_2 (111.0 cm) whereas minimum from C_1 (105.5 cm) at 80 DAP and these were statistically identical (Table 02). Whole corm provided tallest plant which was similar with $\frac{1}{2}$ excised corms in gladiolus cv. Jester (Barman *et al.*, 2006). Large sized half corm (117.7 cm) showed tallest plant height (Laishram *et al.*, 2011). This may be due to more stored food material and sufficient hormone in whole corm which helped in early and rapid plant growth. Cutting of corm may also cause leaching of nutrients which results shorter plant. Tallest plant was recorded in V_2C_2 (131.00 cm) at 80 DAP. The shortest plant was recorded in V_4C_2 (88.3 cm) at 80 DAP (Table 03).

Leaf area

Maximum leaf area was found from V_2 (97.2 cm²) whereas minimum V_3 (76.1 cm²) which was statistically similar with V_4 (76.5 cm²) (Table 01). Mushtaq *et al.* (2013) found Pietmohlen variety (150.0 cm²) showed maximum leaf area and Florared variety (90.0 cm²) showed minimum. This result is also agreed with the findings of Dhankhar *et al.* (1999); Sharma *et al.* (2006) and Padmalatha *et al.*, (2013). This might be due to different varieties contain different concentration level of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium. Maximum leaf area was found in C_2 (93.0 cm²) while minimum in C_1 (78.2 cm²) and these were statistically identical (Table 02). Maximum leaf area given by whole corm was due to having more food assimilates, resulting in plants acquiring optimum growth and development also may be due to difference between CO₂ uptakes during photosynthesis. Maximum leaf area was found in V_2C_2 (106.6 cm²) while minimum from V_4C_2 (69.6 cm²) which was statistically identical with V_3C_2 and V_3C_1 (Table 03).

Days to basal floret opening

Early basal floret opening was found from V₁ (43.7 days), C₂ (44.8 days) and V₁C₁ (40 days) while late from V₂ (59.8 days), C₁ (53.5 days) and V₄C₁ (65 days) (Table 01, 02 and 03). Variation among the variety was also found by [Rani and Singh \(2005\)](#); [Dalal et al. \(2006\)](#); [Rashmi \(2006\)](#) and [Mushtaq et al. \(2013\)](#).

Spike length

Longest spike was found in V₃ (65.2 cm) which was statistically identical to V₁ (63.0 cm) whereas shortest in V₄ (45.2 cm) (Table 01). Length of flower spike was significantly influenced by different variety ([Basavaraddy, 2004](#); [Shiramagond and Hanamashetti, 1999](#); [Dalal et al., 2006](#); [Hossain et al., 2011](#)). Longest spike was recorded in C₂ (59.6 cm) whereas shortest in C₁ (54.0 cm) and these were statistically identical (Table 02). Significantly longer spike (105.8 cm) was obtained from large corm cut into two pieces ([Laishram et al., 2011](#)). Longest spike was recorded in V₃C₂ (68.7 cm) whereas shortest in (43.0 cm) in V₄C₁ (43.0 cm) which was statistically identical with V₄C₂ (47.3 cm) and V₂C₁ (48.0 cm) (Table 03).

Spike Diameter

Thicker spike was found from V₂ (10.4 mm) which was statistically identical with V₁ (10.0 mm) and V₃ (9.2 mm) whereas thinner from V₄ (6.4 mm) (Table 01). Similar result was also found by [Kamble \(2001\)](#) and [Rashmi \(2006\)](#). Spike diameter determines sturdiness which is important character of cut flowers ([Malik, 1968](#)). Thinner spikes have less sturdiness which caused bending after some time in vases. Thicker spike was found from C₂ (9.5 mm) whereas thinner from C₁ (8.5 mm) and these were statistically identical (Table 02). Thicker spike was found in V₂C₂ (10.8 mm) thinner from V₄C₁ (5.8 mm) (Table 03).

Number of florets per spike

Maximum number of florets/spike was found from V₁ (13.0) which was statistically identical with V₂ (12.3) whereas minimum from V₄ (9.3) (Table 01). Number of florets/spike was significantly influenced by different variety ([Basavaraddy, 2004](#); [Patil, 2003](#); [Kamble, 2001](#); [Ram et al., 2005](#); [Dalal et al., 2006](#)) and floret no./spike ranged from 5.3 to 20.0 ([Negi et al., 1982](#)); 8.0 to 18.0 ([Lal and Pant, 1989](#)) and 8.4 to 14.3 ([Hossain et al., 2011](#)). Plant height and spike length had direct influence on number of florets per spike and improvement in spike length and plant height directly increased number of florets per spike ([Mahesh et al., 2011](#)). Maximum number of florets/spike was found from C₂ (12.1) whereas minimum from C₁ (11.1) and these were statistically identical (Table 02). Large corm cut into two pieces produced significantly higher number of florets/spike (14.7) followed by medium corm cut into two pieces (14.3) ([Laishram et al., 2011](#)). Maximum number of florets/spike was from V₁C₂ and V₂C₂ (13.7) which were statistically identical with V₁C₁ (12.3) while minimum from V₄C₁ and V₄C₂ (9.3) (Table 03).

Basal floret head diameter

Maximum basal floret diameter was found from V₁ (9.2 cm) which was statistically similar with lemon yellow variety (9 cm) and minimum diameter of floret head was observed in white and pink variety (8.1 cm) (Table 01). The varieties varied significantly with respect to the floret head diameter ([Ram et al., 2005](#) and [Rashmi, 2006](#)). This may be due to different plant height of these varieties. Diameter of florets indicating that with the incensement of plant height this associated character could be improved ([Kumar et al., 2011](#)). Bigger floret head was found C₂ (8.8 cm) whereas minimum from C₁ (8.4 cm) but these were statistically identical (Table 02). Diameter of first floret was significantly bigger in large and medium half corm and small whole corm (11.4 cm to 11.5 cm) ([Laishram et al., 2011](#)). Maximum floret head diameter (9.5 cm) was recorded in V₂C₂ (9.5 cm) which was statistically similar with V₁C₂ (9.3 cm) while minimum floret head diameter was recorded with V₃C₁ and V₄C₁ (8.0 cm) which was statistically similar with V₃C₂ (8.2 cm) and V₄C₂ (8.2 cm) (Table 03).

Table 01. Response of gladiolus variety to different growth and flowering attributes^x

Treatment	Days to 80% germination	At 80 DAP		Days to basal floret opening	Spike length (cm)	Spike diameter (mm)	No. of floret/spike	Basal floret head diameter (cm)
		Plant height (cm)	Leaf area (cm ²)					
V ₁	19.7 b	118.7 a	92.6 ab	43.7 c	63.0 a	10.0 a	13.0 a	9.2 a
V ₂	19.3 b	121.7 a	97.2 a	59.8 a	53.8 b	10.4 a	12.3 ab	9.0 a
V ₃	20.0 b	105.0 b	76.1 b	47.7 bc	65.2 a	9.2 a	11.7 b	8.1 b
V ₄	26.7 a	87.7 c	76.5 b	55.5 ab	45.2 c	6.4 b	9.3 c	8.1 b
<i>LSD</i> _{0.05}	2.7	9.0	17.1	8.3	6.2	1.4	1.2	0.7
<i>CV</i> %	10.1	6.7	16.2	12.9	8.9	12.3	8.4	6.8

^xIn a column means having similar letter (s) are statistically identical and those having dissimilar letter (s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability

Table 02. Effect of corm division on different growth and flowering attributes^x

Treatment	Days to 80% germination	At 80 DAP		Days to basal floret opening	Spike length (cm)	Spike diameter (mm)	No. of floret/spike	Basal floret head diameter (cm)
		Plant height (cm)	Leaf area (cm ²)					
C ₁	23.8 a	105.5 a	78.2 b	53.5 a	54.0 a	8.5 a	11.1 a	8.4 a
C ₂	19.0 b	111.0 a	93.0 a	49.8 a	59.6 a	9.5 a	12.1 a	8.8 a
<i>LSD</i> _{0.05}	3.4	7.8	10.8	4.1	6.1	1.8	1.8	1
<i>CV</i> %	10.1	6.7	16.2	12.9	8.9	12.3	8.4	6.8

^xIn a column means having similar letter (s) are statistically identical and those having dissimilar letter (s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability

Table 03. Interaction effect of gladiolus variety and corm division on different growth and flowering attributes^x

Treatment	Days to 80% germination	At 80 DAP		Days to basal floret opening	Spike length (cm)	Spike diameter (mm)	No. of floret/spike	Basal floret head diameter (cm)
		Plant height (cm)	Leaf area (cm ²)					
V ₁ C ₁	22.0 bc	118.3 bc	81.0 bc	40.0 d	63.3 ab	9.5 ab	12.3 ab	9.0 ab
V ₁ C ₂	17.3 d	119.0 ab	104.1 ab	47.3 cd	62.7 ab	10.4 ab	13.7 a	9.3 a
V ₂ C ₁	21.3 bc	112.3 bcd	87.8 abc	57.7 abc	48.0 c	10.0 ab	11.0 bc	8.5 ab
V ₂ C ₂	17.3 d	131.0 a	106.6 a	62.0 ab	59.7 b	10.8 a	13.7 a	9.5 a
V ₃ C ₁	21.7 bc	104.3 d	74.2 c	51.3 bcd	61.7 ab	8.7 bc	11.7 b	8.0 b
V ₃ C ₂	18.3 cd	105.7 cd	78.0 c	44.0 d	68.7 a	9.7 ab	11.7 b	8.2 b
V ₄ C ₁	30.3 a	87.0 e	69.6 c	65.0 a	43.0 c	5.8 d	9.3 c	8.0 b
V ₄ C ₂	23.0 b	88.3 e	83.4 abc	46.0 cd	47.3 c	6.9 cd	9.3 c	8.2 b
<i>LSD</i> _{0.05}	3.8	12.7	24.2	11.7	8.8	1.9	1.7	1.0
<i>CV</i> %	10.1	6.7	16.2	12.9	8.9	12.3	8.4	6.8

^xIn a column means having similar letter (s) are statistically identical and those having dissimilar letter (s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability

Number of corms per plot: Gladiolus cultivars showed identical to number of corms/plot production. Maximum number of corms/plot was found from V₂ (13.5) while minimum from V₄ (12.7) (Table 04). Maximum and minimum number of corms/plant was produced by American beauty and apple blossom respectively (Shiramagond and Hanamashetti, 1999). Variety of gladiolus varied in respect of corm production (Anuradha and Gowda, 1994; Ram et al., 2005 and Hossain et al., 2011). Corm production from half corm and whole corm was pictorially represented in Plate 02. Maximum number of corm was found from C₂ (13.3) while minimum from C₁ (12.8) and these were statistically identical (Table 05). Whole corm produced maximum 1.3 corm/plant (Laishram et al., 2011). Highly significant effect of cut corm technique was noted on number of corm/plant (Memon et al., 2009). Maximum number of corm/plot was recorded in V₂C₂ (14.3) which was statistically similar to all other treatments while the minimum from V₄C₂, V₄C₁, V₃C₂ V₂C₁ and V₁C₁ (12.7) (Table 06). Compared to

whole corm, significant reductions occurred in response to half corm in white friendship and peter pears ([Memon et al., 2009](#)).



Plate 02. a) Corm produced from half corm and b) Corm produced from whole corm

Number of cormels per plot

Maximum number of cormels/plant was found from V_2 (647.5/plot) while minimum from V_4 (428.2/plot) (Table 04). Production of number of cormels varied with variety ([Shiramagond and Hanamashetti, 1999](#); [Ram et al., 2005](#); [Hossain et al., 2011](#)). Maximum number of cormels was found from C_2 (555.7/plot) while minimum from C_1 (527.6/plot) but these were statistically similar (Table 05). Large half corm showed maximum cormel production ([Laishram et al., 2011](#)). Cormel no./plant varied significantly due to cut corm technique ([Memon et al., 2009](#)). Maximum number of cormels was found from V_1C_2 (662.7/plot) while minimum from V_4C_1 (418.3) (Table 06). The number of cormels significantly decreased in white friendship, peter pears and tradehorn for half corm compare to whole corm ([Memon et al., 2009](#)).

Weight of single corm (g)

Maximum weight of single corm was found from V_2 (96.3 g) whereas minimum from V_4 (45.8 g) (Table 04). Similar results were found by [Sidhu and Arora \(2000\)](#), [Ram et al., 2005](#); [Kumar \(2009\)](#) and [Hossain et al., \(2011\)](#). This may be attributed to the good vegetative growth of plants in initial stages, which provides good amount of photosynthates for storage in corms. It may also due to highest corm weight and corm size at the time of planting. Maximum corm weight was found from C_2 (68.9 g) whereas minimum from C_1 (64.8) but these were statistically identical (Table 05). Large half corm produced significantly heavier corm ([Laishram et al., 2011](#)). It may be mentioned here that when the half corm or small whole corm were planted, the innermost corms developed on the terminal bud were larger and heavier than the outer corms which were smaller and lighter, implying that the available food materials were first translocated to the central corms and thereafter to the laterals. Maximum weight of single corm was recorded in V_2C_2 (99.5 g) whereas minimum from V_4C_1 (44.3 g) which was statistically identical with V_4C_2 (47.3 g) (Table 06). Peter pears showed significantly higher corm weight than other varieties when treated with half corm ([Memon et al., 2009](#)).

Diameter of single corm

Maximum corm diameter was found from V_2 (67.3 mm) while minimum from V_4 (53.2 mm) (Table 04). Significant differences were recorded among varieties for corm diameter ([Ram et al., 2005](#); [Rashmi, 2006](#) and [Kumar, 2009](#)). But maximum diameter of corm was found from C_2 (61.3 mm) whereas minimum from C_1 (58.5 mm) (Table 05). Half corm produced significantly large corm diameter (59.5 mm) ([Laishram et al., 2011](#)). Cut corm application did not significantly influence corm diameter ([Memon et al., 2009](#)). Maximum diameter of single corm was recorded in V_2C_2 (68.2 mm which was statistically identical with V_2C_1 (66.4 mm). On the other hand, the minimum diameter of single corm was recorded with V_4C_1 (51.6 mm) (Table 06). Interaction of varieties and cut corm application was

highly significant and the maximum diameter was obtained in whole corm with tradehorn (Memon et al., 2009).

Vase life

Maximum vase life was found from V₁ (5.2 days) while minimum in V₄ (4.0 days) (Table 04). Shiramagond and Hanamashetti (1999), Sidhu and Arora (2000) and Patil (2003) found significant variation among varieties for vase life. The typical vase life of individual florets is just 4-6 days and senescent florets remain at the bottom of the spikes after the opening of upper florets. A novel gene encoding cysteine protease (GgCyp) homologue is induced during senescence of gladiolus (Arora and Singh, 2004). Maximum vase life was found from C₁ (4.5 days) whereas minimum from C₂ (4.5 days) (Table 05). Large and medium half corm (13.8 days) showed significantly longer vase life (Laishram et al., 2011). This may be due to decreased size of the half corm. Half corm plant produced lower leaf area, spike length and spike diameter which may be correlated with shorter vase life. Maximum vase life was observed in V₁C₂ (5.7 days) whereas minimum in V₄C₁ and V₄C₂ (4.0 days) (Table 06).

Table 04. The effect of variety on reproductive attributes and vase life^x

Treatment	Number of corm/plot		Number of cormels/plot		Single corm weight (gm)		Single corm diameter (mm)		Vase life (days)	
V ₁	13.0	a	559.0	b	68.9	b	62.0	b	5.2	a
V ₂	13.5	a	647.5	a	96.3	a	67.3	a	4.7	ab
V ₃	13.0	a	531.8	b	56.5	c	57.3	c	4.5	bc
V ₄	12.7	a	428.2	c	45.8	d	53.2	d	4.0	c
LSD _{0.05}	1.3		49.9		3.0		3.6		0.6	
CV (%)	8.0		7.4		3.6		4.8		10.5	

^xIn a column means having similar letter (s) are statistically identical and those having dissimilar letter (s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability

Table 05. The effect of cut corm on reproductive attributes and vase life^x

Treatment	Number of corm/plot		Number of cormels/plot		Single corm weight (gm)		Single corm diameter (mm)		Vase life (days)	
C ₁	12.8	a	527.6	a	64.8	a	58.5	a	4.5	a
C ₂	13.3	a	555.7	a	68.9	a	61.3	a	4.7	a
LSD _{0.05}	0.9		34.2		4.6		3.2		0.4	
CV (%)	8.0		7.4		3.6		4.8		10.5	

^xIn a column means having similar letter (s) are statistically identical and those having dissimilar letter (s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability

Table 06. Interaction effect of gladiolus variety and corm division on reproductive attributes and vase life^x

Treatment	Number of corm/plot		Number of cormels/plot		Single corm weight (gm)		Single corm diameter (mm)		Vase life (days)	
V ₁ C ₁	12.7	a	542	c	67.2	c	60.4	bc	4.7	bc
V ₁ C ₂	13.3	a	576	bc	70.6	c	63.6	ab	5.7	a
V ₂ C ₁	12.7	a	632.3	ab	93.1	b	66.4	a	5.0	ab
V ₂ C ₂	14.3	a	662.7	a	99.5	a	68.2	a	4.3	bc
V ₃ C ₁	13.3	a	517.7	c	54.7	d	55.7	cde	4.3	bc
V ₃ C ₂	12.7	a	546	c	58.2	d	58.9	bcd	4.7	bc
V ₄ C ₁	12.7	a	418.3	d	44.3	e	51.6	e	4.0	c
V ₄ C ₂	12.7	a	438	d	47.3	e	54.7	de	4.0	c
LSD _{0.05}	1.8		70.6		4.2		5.0		0.8	
CV%	8.0		7.4		3.6		4.8		10.5	

^xIn a column means having similar letter (s) are statistically identical and those having dissimilar letter (s)

differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability

Percentage of increase or decreases of half corm over whole corm

The whole corm treatment showed the best response in all four varieties. V₁ performed best for both C₂ and C₁ but floret/spike reduced to 10.2% in C₁ compared to C₂ (Table 07). V₂ gave similar result as V₁ by C₂ for number of floret/spike but 19.7% reduced in C₁ than C₂ while 0.0% reduced in V₃ and V₄ (Table 07). Number of corm reduced to 4.5% in V₁, 11.2% in V₂ but increased to 4.5% in V₃ and no reduction in V₄ in C₁ as compared to C₂ while number of cormels reduced 5.9% in V₁, 5.2% in V₃ and 4.6% in V₂ and V₄ (Table 07).

Table 07. Percentage of reduced or increases of half corm over whole corm on number of floret/spike, number of corms/plot and number of cormels/plot

Treatment	% reduced or increased (-) and increased (+)		
	Number of floret/spike	Number of corm/plot	Number of cormels
V ₁	(-) 10.2	(-) 4.5	(-) 5.9
V ₂	(-) 19.7	(-) 11.2	(-) 4.6
V ₃	0.0	(+) 4.5	(-) 5.2
V ₄	0.0	0.0	(-) 4.6

IV. Conclusion

V₁ (yellow) was superior in all flowering characters except spike length and diameter. Spike length was best in V₃ (white) and spike diameter in V₂ (lemon yellow) followed by V₁. In regarding leaf area, plant height, spike diameter, no. of corm/plot, no. of cormel/plot, weight of corm and diameter of corm V₂ showed best performance. Although germination percentage and leaf area were significantly affected by corm division but other vegetative, floral and reproductive parameters were not significantly influenced by cut corm application. So cut corm can be used for commercial gladiolus production and this might reduce the cost for planting materials.

V. References

- [1]. Anuradha, S. and Gowdha, J. V. (1994). Correlation studies in Gladiolus. *In: Floriculture-Technology, Trades and Trends*. (Eds.) Prakash, J. and K. R. Bhandry. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd. Calcutta. pp. 285-287.
- [2]. Arora, A. and Singh V. P. (2004). Cysteine protease gene expression and proteolytic activity during floral development and senescence in ethylene-insensitive *Gladiolus grandiflora*. *J. of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 13: 123-126.
- [3]. Barman, D., Rajni, K. and Upadhyay, R. C. (2006). Studies on corm and cormel production in gladiolus. *J. Ornamental. Hort.* 9(2): 118-121.
- [4]. Basavaraddy, M. (2004). Evaluation of elite hybrids of gladiolus for cut flower production under transition tract of Karnataka. *M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad.
- [5]. Dalal, S. R., Paithankar D. N., Anokar D. N. and Lande S. S. (2006). Response of gladiolus varieties to different planting dates under Akola conditions. College of Horticulture, Dr. Panjabro Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India 20(1):137-138.
- [6]. Deshraj and Misra, R. L. (1998). Potential of gladiolus cultivation in north-western Himalayan region. *Ann. Agri. Res.* 19(4): 423-428.
- [7]. Dhankhar, D. S., Ranvir, S. and Rana, J. S. (2000). Effect of planting time on growth and flowering of some cultivars of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandifloris*). *Scientific Horticulture* 6: 141- 146.
- [8]. Dod, V. N., Sadavarke, K. T., Kulwal, L. V. and Vaidya, S. W. (1989). Effect of different dates of planting and size of corm on growth, flowering and yield of gladiolus. *Punjabrao Krishi Vidyapeeth Research Journal* 13(12): 164-165.
- [9]. Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. (1984). *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research*. 2nd edn. John Wiley and Sons. New York. p. 680.

- [10]. Gromov, A. N. (1972). The World of the Gladiolus. NAGC, USA. pp. 98-102.
- [11]. Hartman, H. T., Kester, D. E. and Davies, F. T. (1990). Plant propagation: principles and practices. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, Prentice-hall. p. 647.
- [12]. Hossain, M. D., Talukder, K. H., Asaduzzaman, M., Mahmud, F., Amin, N. and Sayed, M. A. (2011). Study on morphological characteristics of different genotypes of gladiolus flower. *J. Sci. Foundation* 9 (1 &2):1-8.
- [13]. Joshi, K. R., Gautam, D. M., Baral, D. R. and Pun, U. K. (2011). Effect of corm size and varieties on corm/cormels production and vase life of gladiolus. *Nepal Journal of Science and Technology* 12: 35-40
- [14]. Kamble, B. S. (2001). Evaluation of gladiolus (*Gladiolus hybridus* Hort.) varieties. *M.Sc. (Hrt.) Thesis*, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, India.
- [15]. Kishan, S., Krishan, P. and Singh, K. P. (2005). Performance of gladiolus under Delhi conditions. *J. of Ornamental Horticulture* 8(1): 32-35.
- [16]. Kumar, J., Kumar, R., Pal and Krishan. (2011). Variability and character association in gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.). *Agricultural Science Digest* 31(4):30
- [17]. Kumar, R. (2009). Evaluation of exotic gladiolus under sub-tropical mid-hills of Meghalaya. *Indian J. of Agricultural Sciences* 79(2): 115-117
- [18]. Laishram, N., Singh, A. and Hatibarua, P. (2011). Division of corms for increasing planting material of gladiolus: Cut corm segments of gladiolus increases number of propagules. Lambert Academic Publishing. Chapter III. pp. 25-46.
- [19]. Lal, S. D. and Pant, C. C. (1989). Some newly developed hybrids of gladiolus. *Progressive Horticulture* 21:189-93.
- [20]. Mahesh, C., Moond, S. K. and Anop Kumari. (2011). Correlation studies in gladiolus. *Research in Plant Biology* 1(4): 68-72.
- [21]. Malik, R. (1968). Export possibilities of roses. *Indian Horticulture* 12: 65-67.
- [22]. Memon, N., Qasim, M., Jaskani, M. J., Ahmed, R. and Ahmed, I. (2009). Enhancement of corm and cormel production in gladiolus (*Gladiolus* spp.), *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science* 37(4): 319-325.
- [23]. Memon, N., Qasim, M., Jaskani, M. J., Awan, F. S., Iqbal, A., Khan, I., Sadia, B. and Hussain, Z. (2012). Assessment of soma clonal variation in *In Vitro* propagated cormels of gladiolus *Pak. J. Bot.*, 44(2): 769-776.
- [24]. Mushtaq, S., Amjad, M., Ziaf, K., Cheema, K. L., Raza M. A. and Hafeez, O. B. A. (2013). Productive and qualitative evaluation of onion cultivars under agro-climatic conditions of Faisalabad. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.* 50: 199-203.
- [25]. Negi, S. S., Raghava, S. P. S. and Sharma, T. V. R. S. 1982. New cultivars of gladiolus. *Indian Horticulture* 26(3): 19-20.
- [26]. Padmalatha, T., Satyanarayana Reddy, G., Chandrasekhar, R., Siva Shankar, A. and Chaturvedy Anurag. (2013). Effect of foliar sprays of bioregulators on growth and flowering in gladiolus. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research* 47(3): 192-199.
- [27]. Patil, K. B. (2003). Study of some exotic varieties of gladiolus. *Orissa Journal of Horticulture* 31(1): 42-48.
- [28]. Priyakumari, I. and Sheela V. L. (2005). Micropropagation of gladiolus cv. 'Peach blossom' through enhanced released of axillary buds. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture* 43: 47-50.
- [29]. Ram, R. B., Tomar, K. S., and Datta, S. K. (2005). Performance of certain gladiolus varieties under sodic conditions. *J. Ornamental Hort.* 8(1): 77-78.
- [30]. Rani, R. and Singh, C. (2005). Evaluation of different gladiolus cultivars for quality flower production. *J. of Birsa Agril. Univ. Res.* 17(2): 227-230.
- [31]. Rashmi, L. (2006). Evaluation of promising hybrids of gladiolus. *M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, India.
- [32]. Saini, R. S., Gupta, A. K. and Yamadgni, R. V. (1991). Performance of different cultivars of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) under Hissar conditions. *South Indian Horticulture* 39(2): 99-101.
- [33]. Sharma, D. P., Chattar, Y. K. and Gupta, N. (2006). Effect of gibberellic acid on growth, flowering and corm yield in three cultivars of gladiolus. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture* 9(2): 106-109.
- [34]. Shiramagond, M. S. and Hanamashetti, S. I. (1999). Evaluation of varieties of gladiolus under Ghataprabha command area. *Karnataka J. of Agricultural Sci.* 12(1-4): 159-163.

- [35]. Sidhu, G. S. and Arora, J. S. (2000). Evaluation of gladiolus varieties for summer flower production. Proceeding of the National Conference on Gladiolus, January. pp.115-117.
- [36]. Singh, A. P. and Dohare, S. R. (1994). Maximization of corms and cormel production in Gladiolus. In: Prakash, J. and K. R. Bhandary ed., Floriculture- Technology, trades and trends. Oxford & IBH Pub. Co. Pvt. Ltd. India. pp. 205-208.
- [37]. Singh, S. (1998). Effect of corm size on flowering and corm production in gladiolus. *J. Ornamental Hort.* 1(2):79-80.
- [38]. Ziv, M. and Lilien-Kipnis, H. (1990). Gladiolus. In: Ammirato, P. A., Evans, D. A. Shark, W. R. and Bajaj Y. P. S. ed. Handbook of plant cell culture. McGraw hill Publishing Company, New York. pp. 461-478.